

Calorimeter for JLC Experiment

Hiroyuki Matsunaga
University of Tsukuba

CONTENTS

1) Required Performance

2) Basic Parameters

3) Proof of Performance

[A] Beam Tests

a) Energy Resolution

b) Linearity

c) Tower Boundary

d) e/π Separation

[B] Simulation

4) Other R&Ds

a) Scintillator-strip EMCAL

b) Direct-readout Scintillator-strip SHmax

c) Photon Detectors

d) Lead Alloy

5) Summary

JLC-CAL group is a collaboration of KEK,
Kobe, Konan, Niigata, Shinshu, and Tsukuba

1) Required Performance

sm02

Design Criteria in a de-coupled CAL parameter space

2-jet mass resolution better than Γ_Z, Γ_W

- **Hardware Compensation** for excellent hadron energy **Resolution and Linearity**
- **Fine Granularity** for precise topological reconstruction


No software compensation

No extremely-fine granularity

Technology Choice

Tile/Fiber Sampling Calorimeter

- Crackless Hermeticity
- Low Cost
- Design Flexibility
- Well-established technology



Reconstructed W mass for $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$ at $\sqrt{S}=400\text{GeV}$


Result of quick-simulation. SHmax is not used for analysis.

Thus contribution of track-cluster association error is as large as $\sim 1.9\text{GeV}$. Better result expected with SHmax analysis.

2) Basic parameters of revised baseline JLC calorimeter

sm03

magnetic-field option	2T-case	3T-case
Inner Radius	250cm	160cm
Outer Radius	400cm	340cm
Angular Coverage	$ \cos\theta < 0.985$ $ \cos\theta < 0.994$	$ \cos\theta < 0.966$ (Full) $ \cos\theta < 0.991$ (Partial)
SHmax scheme	Scintillator-Strip Array (1cm-wide)	option=Si-pad (1cm x 1cm)
EMC	$\sigma_E/E = 15\%/\sqrt{E} + 1\%$	
transverse	6cm x 6cm (24mrad)	4cm x 4cm (24mrad)
longitudinal	3 sections (6+12+20 layers)	
HCAL	$\sigma_E/E = 40\%/\sqrt{E} + 2\%$	
transverse	18cm x 18cm (72mrad)	12cm x 12cm (72mrad)
longitudinal	4 sections (25+30+35+40 layers)	
Thickness		
PreSH	4Xo (4mm x 6 layers)	
EMC	23Xo (4mm x 22 layers)	
HCAL	6.5 λ_0 (8mm x 130 layers)	



Configuration of Baseline Barrel Calorimeter

3) Proof of Performance

sm04

[A] Energy Resolution & Linearity

- Related to Material Choice and Global Design
- Must be verified by **Beam Test**

[B] Granularity

- Related to Component Design
 - Must be verified by **Full Simulation**
- Easy to tune at any stage in the case of Tile/Fiber scheme

Strategy;


- **1st** Establish energy resolution & linearity with tile/fiber test module
DONE
- **2nd** Optimize granularity by full simulation with tile/fiber structure implemented
In Progress

[A] Beam tests done at KEK (1-4GeV) and at FNAL (10-200GeV) to prove ; sm05

- a) **Energy Resolution** / Gaussian Response / Hardware Compensation
- b) **Linearity** / Dynamic Range
- c) **Tower Boundary Uniformity**
- d) **e/π Separation** Capability


Schematic View of
Hadron Calorimeter test module
with **Tile/Fiber** configuration

- 2mm-Sci + 8mm-Lead
- 130 layers in total
- 5 x 5 tower structure
- 20cm x 20cm cell size



a) Energy Resolution

sm06



Energy resolution of tile/fiber hadron calorimeter test module.


π ; $\sigma_E/E = 46.7 \pm 0.6\%/\sqrt{E} + 0.9 \pm 0.9\%$
 worse than design due to 'fiber-routing' acryl plate
.... Should be OK but needs verification.

Effect of acryl plate (measured by beam tests)

- No effect on compensation if placed downstream of scintillator
- No effect on EM energy resolution regardless its location
- Deteriorate hadron energy resolution regardless its location

Beam test result of acryl plate effect (4GeV)


Position of Acryl	EM resolution	π resolution	e/π ratio
No acryl plates	$12.0 \pm 0.5\%$	$20.5 \pm 0.4\%$	1.03 ± 0.02
Upstream of Sci	$11.6 \pm 0.5\%$	$22.7 \pm 0.4\%$	1.07 ± 0.02
Downstream of Sci	$12.0 \pm 0.5\%$	$22.8 \pm 0.4\%$	1.01 ± 0.02



Energy resolution of HCAL test module w/o acryl plates.




b) Linearity

sm07



Good Linearity thanks to Hardware Compensation.
(better than 1% from 2 GeV to 150 GeV)

c) Tower Boundary Response





- No significant anomaly was observed at the tower boundary for pions.
- Slight anomaly was observed for electrons.

EM module must be designed with more uniform response.

d) e/π separation and PreSH/SHmax

sm08




Combined performance of

- PreSH
- SHmax (Scint-Strip)
- HCAL

measured with test beam.

- pion rejection $\sim 1/1400$
 - with $\epsilon_e \sim 98\%$
- Quite Satisfactory**
- position resolution 2~3mm
due to noise/cross talk
Needs improvements



[B] Granularity Optimization



sm09

Optimization with a full simulator based on GEANT3



- Tuning of calorimeter response in progress
- Hadron-clustering algorithm under development
- Cluster-track association algorithm under development

- Implementation of hadron shower generator
with realistic fluctuation
Still working hard to make 'Un-Correlated' distribution function.

Yet a lot to do before reconstruction of physics processes for optimization.



Un-correlated fluctuation for EM




Correlated fluctuation for hadrons

4) Other R&D Items

sm10

a) Scintillator-strip EMCAL

- much finer-granularity
- reasonable cost by **casting/extrusion** of strips
- non-uniformity over a strip $\sim 4.8\%$
similar to traditional square tiles ($\sim 4.6\%$)
- requires **super multi-channel photo-detectors**
- **crossed-strip** layout need study
ghost-rejection capability by full simulation
- energy decomposition algorithm be studied
for multi-hit in a cell.



b) Direct-readout Scintillator-strip SHmax

- Attach high-gain APD directly at the end of scintillator-strip ... eliminate WLS cost
- Punch-through be rejected by blind-Si behind.

..... under study.

c) Photon Detectors

sm11

- EMC/HCAL


multi-channel HPD/HAPD : promising (however cost-down needed)

- Scintillator-Strip EMC/SHmax need


super-multichannel photo-detector.

- 61ch-HPD ; tests in progress

- EBCCD ; tests in progress. Higher gain needed.



Principle of EBCCD



Gain vs photo-cathode voltage for proximity-focused EBCCD

d) Strong Lead Alloy


sm12

Hardware Compensation ... Lead as passive/structural material

==> Lead alloy with high rigidity and tensile strength needed.

Tentative target = strength of copper

	Tensile Strength (yield)	Young Modulus
Copper	64MPa	110GPa
Pure Lead	7MPa	14GPa
Lead Alloy-1	50MPa	14GPa
-B	42MPa	21GPa
	(preliminary)	



Weight vs Elongation for Several Lead Alloys

- Other samples being tested.
- Creep test to be done soon.

5) Summary

sm13

Baseline design of JLC calorimeter

- high performance expected ; **hermeticity, resolution, linearity**
- with **well-established technology** ; tile/fiber scheme
- with **reasonable cost** ; casting enables further cost reduction
- **design flexibility** ; completely decoupled resolution & granularity
by hardware compensation scheme

Proof of Principle --- Finished.

However verification with full simulation is severely behind schedule.